Deep Underground Facilities and Long Baseline Meutrino Experiments

Deep Underground Science Facilities

Prospects for a next generation underground neutrino observatory on 100'000m³ scale Progress in Europe



André Rubbia (ETH Zurich)





Symposium on Transatlantic EU-U.S. Cooperation in the Field of Large Scale Research Infrastructures 1st October 2010 CNR - Piazzale Aldo Moro, 7, 00185 Rome, Italy

Wednesday, September 29, 2010

Extremely rare signals. Go underground.

Physics beyond the Standard Model

Neutrinos are massive Lepton numbers are violated Neutrino change flavour Dark Matter detection

Provide crucial information on the fundamental laws of Nature and on the evolution of the Universe.

Discovery in underground laboratories with natural long base-lines sources (sun, cosmic rays) <u>Confirmation and improvement in precision</u> with reactor and accelerator experiments, on unprecedented baselines

Deep underground facilities

- Deep underground laboratories are large laboratories, caverns, and cleanrooms serving the field of underground science.
- The main impetus is the execution of dedicated experiments studying <u>extremely rare nuclear physics processes</u>, which can Deep underground science only be studied in the absence of <u>cosmic rays</u>, such as:
 - Low Energy Neutrinos Detection
 - Search for <u>Radioactively Decaying Protons</u>
 - Terrestrial detection of <u>Dark Matter</u>
 - <u>Search for Neutrinoless Double Beta Decays</u>
 - <u>Study of Neutrinos from Accelerators (Neutrino</u>
 - etc.

Wednesday, September 29, 2010

(Cosmic rays on the Earth's surface cause backgrounds in these types of experiments, but the particles cannot penetrate great depths in rock.)

 Easy access to great depths opens new frontiers in geomicrobiology, geosciences, and mining engineering, making deep underground laboratories multidisciplinary facilities.





Betabeams

Neutrino factor

Superbeams

Present European underground labs



Western Europe hosts five national operating underground laboratories. They are linked and coordinated via ApPEC (& ILIAS).

A. Rubbia

Deep Underground Facilities and Long Baseline Neutrino Experiments

Wednesday, September 29, 2010

Large underground experimental halls



A. Rubbia

Deep Underground Facilities and Long Baseline Neutrino Experiments

Wednesday, September 29, 2010

Underground science in expansion



Wednesday, September 29, 2010

Deep Underground Labs in the world



Europe enjoys today a leading position in underground science with five national underground laboratories. LNGS is the largest in the world.

A. Rubbia

Deep Underground Facilities and Long Baseline Neutrino Experiments

Wednesday, September 29, 2010

A new giant neutrino observatory in Europe ?

Advances in low energy neutrino astronomy and direct investigation of Grand Unification require the construction of very large volume underground observatories.

There is currently no such infrastructure in Europe able to host underground instruments of this size, although five national underground laboratories with high technical expertise are currently operated with leading-edge smaller-scale underground experiments.

A pan-European infrastructure able to host underground instruments with volumes at the 100'000 m³ scale will provide new and unique scientific opportunities in low energy neutrino astronomy and Grand Unification physics.

This field of research is at the forefront of particle and astro-particle physics and is the subject of intense investigation also in North America and Asia. Such an infrastructure in Europe would attract scientists from all over the world and ensure that Europe will continue to play a leading and innovative role in the field.



"recommend that a new large European infrastructure is put forward as a future international multi-purpose facility on the 100-1000 ktons scale for improved studies of proton decay..."

LAGUNA project

European LAGUNA project



(a) University of Durham (UDUR), University Office, Old Elvet, Durham DH1 3HP, United Kingdom (b) University of Bern, 4 Hochschulstrasse, CH-3012, Bern (c) Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Institut National de Physique Nucléaire et de Physique des Particules (CNRS/IN2P3), 3 rue Michel-Ange, Paris 75794, France (d) Horia Hulubei National Institute of RD for Physics and Nuclear Engineering, IFIN-HH, 407 Atomistilor Street, R-077125, Magurele, jud. ILFOV, PO Box MG-6, postal code RO-077125, Romania (e) ETH Zurich, 101 Raemistrasse, CH-8092 Zurich (f) The University of Sheffield (USFD), New Spring House 231, Glossop Road, Sheffield S102GW, United Kingdom (g) Lombardi Engineering Limited, via R.Simen, CH-6648, Minusio (h) Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique (CEA)/ Direction des Sciences de la Matière, 25 rue Leblanc, Paris 75015, France (i) Laboratorio Subterraneo de Canfranc (LSC), Plaza del Ayuntamiento no. 1, 22880 Canfranc (Huesca), Spain (j) Mineral and Energy Economy Research Institute of the Polish Academy of Sciences (IGSMIE-PAN), Wybickiego 7, 30-950 Krakow, Poland (k) Wroclaw University of Technology (PWr Wroclaw), ul. Wybrzeze Wyspianskiego 27, 50-370 Wroclaw, Poland (1) University of Bucarest (UoB), Faculty of Physics Bld.Atomistilor nr.405, Physics Platform, Magurele, Ilfov County, RO-077125, MG-11 Bucharest-Magurele, Romania (m) University of Oulu (U-OULU), 1 Pentti Kaiteran Katu, Oulu 90014, Finland (n) Technische Universität München (TUM), 21 Arcisstrasse, München 80333, Germany (o) University of Aarhus (AU), 1 Norde Ringgade, Aarhus C 8000, Denmark (p) AGT Ingegneria Srl, Perugia, 10 A via della Pallotta, Perugia 06126, Italy (q) Technodyne International Ltd., Unit16, Shakespeare Business Centre Hathaway Close, Eastleigh UK SO 50 4SR, United Kingdom (r) Kalliosuunnittelu Oy Rockplan Ltd., 2 Asemamiehenkatu, Helsinki 00520, Finland (s) University of Jyväskylä (JyU), 9 Survontie, Jyväskylä 40014, Finland (t) Cleveland Potash Limited (CPL), Boulby Mine, Loftus, Saltburn Cleveland, TS13 4UZ, UK (u) Institute of Physics, University of Silesia Uniwersytecka 4, 40-007 Katowice, Poland (v) Universidad Autonoma de Madrid (UAM), C/Einstein no. 1; Rectorado, Ciudad Universitaria de Cantoblanco, 28049 Madrid, Spain (w) Max-Planck-Institute for Nuclear Physics, Heidelberg (x) KGHM CUPRUM Ltd Research and Development Centre, Pl. 1 Maja, 50-136 Wrocaw, Poland (y) IFJ Pan, H.Niewodniczaski Institute of Nuclear Physics PAN, Radzikowskiego 152, 31-342 Krakow, Poland (z) Max-Planck-Institute for Physics, Munich (A) High Energy Physics Department - A. Soltan Institute for Nuclear Studies (SINS) Hoza 69 00-681 Warsaw, Poland (B) Faculty of Physics and Astronomy, Wroclaw University, pl M. Borna 9, 50-204 Wroclaw, Poland.

European physicists interested in massive neutrino detectors; geotechnical experts, geo-physicists; structural engineers; tank, rock mechanical&underground and mining engineers

- about 100 members
- 28 institutions
- 10 countries
- multidisciplinary
- academic and industrial partners

http://www.laguna-science.eu/

Objective: defining and realizing this research programme in Europe

A. Rubbia



Wednesday, September 29, 2010

10





Wednesday, September 29, 2010

Detector technology options

Three technology options considered (MEMPHYS, LENA, GLACIER) with total active mass in the range 50'000-500'000 tons



A. Rubbia

LAGUNA at work (2008-2011)

FP7 "Design Studies" Research Infrastructures LAGUNA Grant Agreement No. 212343

- **Typical questions addressed**
- assessment of strengths and weaknesses
- rock mechanics of caverns
- design of tanks in relation to sites
- overburden vs. detector options
- transport, access, delivery of liquids
- safety e.g. tunnel vs. mine
- environment e.g. rock removal
- relative costs

Site visits and meetingsites work together on common areas

WP2: Underground infrastructures and Engineering

WP3: Safety, environmental and socioeconomic issues

WP4: Science Impact and Outreach







A. Rubbia



FACULTATEA DE MINE CATEDRA DE INGINERIE MINIERĂ ȘI SECURITATE IN INDUSTRIE

NIVERSITATEA DIN PETROȘANI

LAGUNA LARGE APPARATUS FOR GRAND UNIFICATION AND NEUT Feasibility study for Fréjus s	по Азтгорнузіся водов в в в в в в в в в в в в в в в в в	s and auxiliary HE LSC (CANFRANC,	O BURNAA
Work Package 2 - deliverable 2.1		FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR LARGE UNDERGROUND CAVERNS INFRASTRUCTURE FACILITIES OF THE LAGUNA PROJECT AT TI HUESCA, SPAIN)	REVISION 8 th February 2010
Interim report, 02.12.09			
	LAGUNA Design Study Underground Infrastructure an (EU, FP7: Work Package 2: Del LA 51°30' N, LO 16°4' E	d Engineering Interim Report iverable 2.5)	Feasibility Undergro (EU, FP 7 6

NUMBER CONNERS OF A D. R.

S REFENT FOLSKA HEDZ SA

🎎 IGSMIE PAN, Krakóv

Jarosław Ślizowski, Wiesław Bujakowski, Leszek Lankof , Zenon Pilecki, Kazin

omir Hanzel, Andrzei Markiewicz, Sławomir Cvaar

STUDIUL DE STABILITATE ȘI MODELUL 3D AL UNEI EXCAVATII DE MARI DIMENSIUNI EXECUTATĂ ÎN ZĂCĂMÂNTUL DE SARE SLĂNIC PRAHOVA. ACEST STUDIU ESTE SUPORT PENTRU FP7 212343 DESIGN OF A PAN- EUROPEAN INFRASTRUCTURE FOR LARGE APPARATUS STUDYING GRAND UNIFICATION AND NEUTRINO **ASTROPHYSICS - LAGUNA**

PYHÄSALMI LAGUNA Design Study Study for LAGUNA at PYHÄSALMI und infrastructure and engineering Work Package 2: Deliverable 2.1)



dying Grand Unification and Neutrin CUPP -INFRASTRUCTURES-2007

LAGUNA Design Study Underground infrastructures and engineering for LAGUNA at Italian Site (EU, FP7 : Work Package 2 : Deliverable 2.1) **REGIONE UMBRIA Site (Valuerina)**





tific Partners: FTH ZÜBICH - U-BERN Technical Partners: AGT INGEGNERIA SRL (Perugia) - GEOINGEGNERIA SRL (Rome) Geological Advisors: Prof. GIORGIO MINELLI - Dott. Geol. CLAUDIO BERNETTI

BOULBY LAGUNA Design Study Geo-technical, Underground Infrastructure and Engineering Interim (EU, FP7: Work Package 2: Deliverable 2.1) - in strict confidence -





CLEVELAND POTASH -523

 more than 1200 pages large amount of information and details healthy competition among sites publicly available

A. Rubbia

LOMBARD

Deep Underground Facilities and Long Baseline Neutrino Experiments

Wednesday, September 29, 2010

Industrial partners

KGHM Cuprum CBR, Wrocław,

zimierz Urbańczyk Karolina Woitusz

Preliminary LAGUNA findings



- 1. All the pre-selected sites appear <u>technically</u> and <u>environmentally</u> feasible, so there are several options (unlike in Japan or now USA), though not all sites are interested in all detector options.
- 2. It appears technically feasible to excavate the desired underground caverns and infrastructures, to build the necessary tanks underground, and to fill them with the desired liquids.
- 3. The liquid procurement with the needed quantities is feasible for all sites and for all liquids (Water, LAr, LScint), although it might take several calendar years to reach the full *in-situ* procurement.
- 4. The cost of the excavation, although non-negligible, is not the dominant cost of the project. In order to proceed towards a technology choice, a better understanding of the costs of the full detector design and construction including their instrumentation for the <u>three</u> detector options is essential.
- 5. Studies indicate that some European options offer potential physics and/or technical advantages that need to be specially and carefully confronted with other options worldwide.

6. The physics goals play a dominant role in selecting the site !

A. Rubbia

LAGUNA-LBNO



Attention is needed to the US and Japan LBL situation and requires more concrete considerations of the perspectives of long baseline neutrino oscillations within the LAGUNA programme.

- LBNE is proceeding with both water and LAr with a baseline that is fixed to 1300 km. It needs a new beamline and at least one far detector. The beam power will be 700 kW until ProjectX is operational (>2020?).
- Japan has an existing J-PARC beam with an upgrade plan to 1.66MW (>2014) and two fixed possibilities for the baseline (Kamioka @ 300km and Okinoshima @ 658 km).

Europe has *a priori* the benefit of more flexibility in choice of both beam and baseline, and detector technology. We <u>focus on three</u> <u>options</u> where CERN-LAGUNA can be competitive:

(a) existing CNGS beam → Umbria (or LNGS') 650-732km
(b) shortest baseline, no matter effect → Fréjus 130km
(c) longest baseline, matter effect → Pyhäsalmi 2300 km

Very short/long baseline concept

CERN-Fréjus offers a very short baseline not considered elsewhere in the world me unique physics opportunities in Europe



CERN-Pyhäsalmi offers a very long baseline not considered elsewhere in the world in unique physics opportunities in Europe



Determine CPV by comparison of neutrinos/ antineutrinos in absence of competing matter effects

> need very low energy beam and huge (WC) detector

Adequate baseline/energy for betabeam

Determine CPV and mass hierarchy by spectrum measurement and resolve degeneracies and so-called "π-transit" effect

arXiv:0908.3741v1 for "Magic distance"

Adequate baseline for neutrino factory

A. Rubbia

LAGUNA-LBNO FP7 DS ?



Planned submission as FP7 Design Study - Call 2010

Work Packages

WP1 : Management, Outreach, International relations

WP2 : Detector R&D and Design, Cost Estimation including Underground Construction, and Large Volume Magnetization

WP3 : Definition of Detector Operation and Liquid Processes -Project Lifetime Costs and Safety

WP4 : Conventional Neutrino Beams from CERN to LAGUNA and Conceptual Design of Near Detectors

WP5 : Underground Science and Physics Potential



The CERN laboratory plans to participate to the study for what concerns the feasibility of the neutrino beam (WP4). The far underground observatory, including its astroparticle physics programme, remains in the domain of the LAGUNA consortium.

LAGUNA - Schedule



Paper Design Study (EU funded): 2008-2011 **Categorize the sites and down-select: July 2010** Study detector design and beam options (LAGUNA-LBNO ? call end 2010): 2011-2013 2014? **Critical decision** 2015-2020? Phase 1 excavation-construction: **Phase 2 excavation-construction:** >2020?

Timeline matched to a new potential CERN neutrino beams in >2016

Conclusions

Growing worldwide interest and activities on next-generation underground large neutrino and proton decay detectors, requiring both new sites and detector technologies

Europe enjoys today the most experience in underground science and sites Within LAGUNA it has a well defined roadmap & timeline - a large amount of technical expertise has been gathered to reach the conclusions and a strong collaboration has developed since 2008 - no obvious geo-technical show-stoppers so far - but several challenges (e.g. cost of deep underground construction, liquid procurement, financing...) - prioritize sites in 2010, study perspectives for LBL, detector technology choice

Big range of CERN baselines are feasible (130 km - 2300 km)
- includes possibility of very short and very long baselines
- LAGUNA timeline matched to potential superbeam from CERN

It is clear that Europe has great relevant infrastructure and expertise to build LAGUNA, we can benefit from this

- LAGUNA mainly towards a European research infrastructure but strongly linked to projects world-wide that consider same physics goals (future J-PARC and LBNE). Worldwide coherence and coordination is the only winning strategy for a project of this scale.

19